biomarkers consortium











Building Consortia for Enhanced Predictive, Diagnostic, and Therapeutic Care: The Example of the Biomarkers Consortium

Best Practices for Personalized Medicine Vancouver, BC March 9, 2011



• The ultimate deliverable:translation of personalized medicine to the clinic and patient

Dr. Daniel Bednarik, Director, Genomics and Bioinformatics, Cardiome Pharma

• Strategies and barriers to deliver personalization to the point of care

Dr Brad Popovich, Chief Scientific Officer, Genome BC

 ASK for confident decisions: harnessing the power of semantics
 Dr. Erich Gombocz, Vice-President and Chief Scientific Officer, IO Informatics



Convergence of multiple factors has led to the emergence of publicprivate partnerships in biomedicine



Escalating complexity of biomedical science and technologies Declining productivity in biopharma R&D → "externalization" of research

Decline in government health research budgets

 \rightarrow funding gap



•

Increased Need for Public- Private Partnerships



Regulatory challenges → increasing complexity, limited budgets Emergence of viable collaborative models → e.g., SNP Consortium, Gates Foundation



Expansion of "precompetitive" field

Biomarkers have many uses

- **Clinical Practice**
 - Diagnose or identify risk for disease
 - Stratify patients
 - Assess severity/disease progression
 - Predict prognosis
 - Guide treatment
 - Assess response to treatment
- Drug Development

Personalization

- Assess role of drug target in disease process
- Assess how a drug candidate interacts with a target receptor, enzyme, or protein
- Toxicology PK, PD, dosing
- In clinical development, assessing whether a drug is safe and effective
- **Drug Qualification**
 - Inform regulatory decision-making



- Fewer than 1 per year have been approved by the FDA since 1998
- This high percentage of un-validated biomarkers is generalizable to other diseases
- This "biomarker barrier" in which candidate biomarkers have not been validated needs to be overcome



Copyright © 2005 Nature Publishing Group Nature Reviews | Cancer

bomarkers

* Polanski and Anderson (2006). A List of Candidate Cancer Biomarkers for Targeted Proteomics. Biomarker Insights 2:1–48

Biomarker qualification: the value of collaboration

- Biomarkers require extensive testing and qualification for practical use
 - Multiple studies to ensure integrity, reproducibility of results
- Qualification is challenging, expensive, and time-consuming
 - Can require large amounts of data: literature, observational studies, clinical trials
- Qualification is based on <u>consensus</u> among the scientific community
 - Deep understanding of and agreement on disease risk, natural history, outcomes
- Qualification is a pre-competitive activity

- met

Qualification is difficult to accomplish this in a single institutional setting

→ Requires *partnerships* and a *strategic approach*





As a result, a number of PPPs in biomarker discovery and development have emerged in recent years <u>Some examples:</u>

- ADNI
- Critical Path Institute (PSTC, CAMD)
- Serious Adverse Events Consortium
- Innovative Medicines Initiative (Europe)
- PROOF Centre
- The Biomarkers Consortium



- Established by Congress in 1990; incorporated in 1996
- Supports the NIH mission
- Close relationships with NIH
- 501(c)(3) non-profit organization
 - Raised over \$560M since 1996
 - 50+ projects
- Non-governmental
 - Directly solicits contributions
 - Flexible donor relationships
 - Creates open, inclusive, objective governance mechanisms
 - Timely, effective grants/contracts/project management





Goals of The Biomarkers Consortium

- Facilitate the development and standardization of biomarkers using new and existing technologies
- Help qualify these biomarkers for specific applications in diagnosing disease, predicting therapeutic response, or improving clinical practice
- Generate information useful to inform regulatory decision-making
- Make consortium project results broadly available to the entire scientific community



Contributing Members (62)

For-Profit Companies (28)

Abbott Laboratories Amgen Amylin AstraZeneca **Banyan Biomarkers BG** Medicine Boehringer-Ingelheim **Bristol-Myers Squibb Celgene Corporation** Daiichi Sankyo Eisai, Inc. Genstruct, Inc. GlaxoSmithKline InfraReDx, Inc. Johnson & Johnson Eli Lilly and Company Merck and Co., Inc. Meso Scale Discovery Metabolon, Inc. NextGen Sciences Orasi Medical. Inc. Pfizer Inc. F. Hoffmann-La Roche RareCyte, Inc. Scout Diagnostics Sepracor Takeda Pharmaceuticals XOMA. Ltd.

Non-Profit Organizations (34)

Academy of Molecular Imaging Advanced Medical Technology Association Alliance for Aging Research Alzheimer's Association American Association for Cancer Research American College of Neuropsychopharmacology American Diabetes Association American Health Assistance Foundation American Society of Clinical Oncology American Society for Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics American Society for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology Arthritis Foundation Association of Clinical Research Organizations Autism Speaks Avon Foundation **Battelle Memorial Institute Biotechnology Industry Organization CHDI** Foundation **Cystic Fibrosis Foundation Therapeutics** Federation of Clinical Immunology Societies The Hamner Institutes for Health Sciences The Immune Tolerance Institute, Inc. International Society of Biological Therapy of Cancer Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation **Kidney Cancer Association** The Leukemia and Lymphoma Society Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research Ontario Cancer Biomarker Network Osteoarthritis Research Society International Pharmaceutical Research and Manufacturers of America **PROOF** Centre of Excellence Radiological Society of North America Society for Nuclear Medicine University of Illinois



The Biomarkers Consortium Executive Committee

<u>Chairman</u>

Charles Sanders, Foundation for NIH

<u>NIH</u>

Thomas Insel, National Institute of Mental Health
Douglas Lowy, National Cancer Institute
James Battey, National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders

<u>CMS</u>

Barry Straube

<u>Public Member</u> Mary Woolley, *Research!America*

<u>FDA</u>

ShaAvhree Buckman, Office of Translational Science
Jeffrey Shuren, Center for Devices and Radiological Health
Janet Woodcock, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research

<u>Industry</u>

Stephen Eck, Eli Lilly & Co. Gary Herman, Merck & Co., Inc. Garry Neil, Johnson & Johnson Sara Radcliffe, BIO

Foundation for NIH Board

Steve Paul, ex-Eli Lilly & Co. Ellen Sigal, Friends of Cancer Research

Project Development Process

we!

b[™]omarkers

- 11 launched projects (1 completed)
 - 1 completed project (Adiponectin); 4 projects will complete in 2011
 - 3 new projects in 2011 pipeline (infectious disease, OA, atherosclerosis)
- Trending towards more industry- and FDA-based submissions, more qualification projects
- Increased membership base/operations core
- Increasingly seen as a model public-private partnership

INVESTIGATION OF SERIAL STUDIES TO PREDICT YOUR THERAPEUTIC RESPONSE WITH MAGING AND MOLECULAR ANALYSIS

I-SPY 2 is Designed to Accelerate the Clinical Trial Process

- Neoadjuvant Setting
 - Chemotherapy before surgery in a population with locally advanced breast cancer (LABC)
 - Accelerates knowledge turns from 5+ years to 1 year
- Adaptive Trial Design
 - Learn rapidly which drugs work for which patients, and apply that knowledge to subsequent patients within the trial
- Molecular and Imaging Biomarker Guidance, and qualification of new biomarkers
- Multiple Drugs Tested Simultaneously, representing different signaling pathways

I-SPY 2 Project will allow faster development of better targeted treatments

for breast cancer:

- Dramatically improve the success rate for Phase III trials, from 25- 30% historically to as high as 85%, and target therapies to patients where the benefit is greatest
- Significantly reduce the time to identify the best compounds and move them to approval
- Reduce the number of patients required in Phase III trials tenfold (from thousands to hundreds of patients)
- Significantly cut the cost of late-phase drug development (by reducing the time and number of patients required in trials)
- Test new, more efficient paradigms for drug evaluation and approval in concert with FDA

THE WALL STREET JOURNAL.

WSJ.com

THE SATURDAY ESSAY | OCTOBER 2, 2010

A New Rx for Medicine

Fed up with slow drug trials, cancer patients and doctors are testing a fast track to personalized treatments.

By RON WINSLOW

When 37-year-old Kerry Landreth discovered a lump in her breast last April, she was told it would take three weeks to get a doctor's appointment to have it checked.

b[™]omarkers

"I don't do three weeks," she recalls saying. "How about today?"

By the end of the day, she had talked her way into a doctor's appointment, a mammogram and a biopsy to determine whether the suspicious lump was a tumor. A few days later came the diagnosis: stage 2 invasive ductal breast cancer, a particularly aggressive form of the disease. When a surgeon recommended a double mastectomy, she decided to consider other options.

Thank You

Definition of a Biomarker

"A characteristic that is objectively measured and evaluated as an indicator of normal biological processes, pathogenic processes, or pharmacologic responses to a therapeutic intervention"

(*Biomarkers Definitions Working Group, "Biomarkers and surrogate endpoints: Preferred definitions and conceptual framework," Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics, 69 (3): 89-95 (March 2001).*

Projects Launched/Completed to Date (11)

Projects Launched/Completed to Date (11) *(continued)*

b[™]omarkers

Additional Projects Approved/In Development (5)

Project Name/Committee	Execution Objective	Status
Clinical Studies to Evaluate and Qualify Kidney Safety Biomarkers (Executive Committee)	Will compare performance, initiate clinical qualification, and advance regulatory acceptance of new urinary biomarkers of acute drug-induced kidney injury. The Critical Path Institute's Predictive Safety Testing Consortium has successfully qualified for regulatory use in pre-clinical studies a panel of 7 kidney safety biomarkers; this project would expand these findings to the clinical setting.	\$3.25M, 2 year project; approved in Q3 2010 (8/2010); working to obtain remaining funding needed to launch project
Endpoints for Clinical Trials of Drugs for Bacterial Infections and Pneumonia (Executive Committee)	Identify and qualify efficacy endpoints for use in regulatory approval of new anti-bacterial drugs on the basis of non- inferiority clinical trials in acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections (ABSSSI) and community-acquired bacterial pneumonia (CABP)	Project concept approved by EC in June 2010; anticipate project plan presentation in Q1/Q2 2011
Osteoarthritis Biomarkers Project (Immunity & Inflammation Steering Committee)	Validate biomarker-based metrics that would serve as putative efficacy end-points in therapeutic development of regimens slowing the progression of knee osteoarthritis by leveraging the unparalleled resources of the NIH Osteoarthritis Initiative	Project plan presented to IISC in January 2011; anticipate request for Executive Committee approval in Q1/Q2 2011
Atherosclerosis Computer Modeling Initiative (Metabolic Disorders SC)	Will aggregate data from existing industry clinical trials to develop a mathematical model of atherosclerosis that integrates and validates known biomarkers.	Approved concept; securing funding commitments and exploring cost/scope reduction
Functional Imaging Biomarkers for Pain and Analgesia (Neuroscience SC)	This project aims to develop imaging as a functional biomarker platform focusing on pain and analgesia. This project also hopes to define standards for the use of fMRI in drug discovery and development trials.	Project plan approved by Executive Committee in February 2010; anticipate ceasing activities around this project due to lack of funding interest